# SOMERVILLE. MISS.

## City of Somerville

# **ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

City Hall 3rd Floor, 93 Highland Avenue, Somerville MA 02143

### **DECISION**

PROPERTY ADDRESS: 200 North Street

**CASE NUMBER:** ZBA 2017-125-R1-10/20

**OWNER:** LaCourt Realty, LLC, c/o Mouhab Rizkallah 30 College Ave, Somerville, MA 02144

**DECISION DATE:** November 4, 2020

**REQUIRED PERMITS:** Revision to previously issued Special Permit

**DECISION:** Approved with Conditions

This decision summarizes the findings made by the Zoning Board of Appeals regarding the development review application submitted for 200 North Street.

#### **LEGAL NOTICE**

Applicant, LaCourt Foundation, LLC, c/o Mouhab Rizkallah, seeks a revision to Condition #1 of the previously granted special permit ZBA 2017-125.

#### **RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

On November 4, 2020 the Zoning Board of Appeals held a public hearing advertised in accordance with MGL 40A and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. Present and sitting at the public hearing were ZBA Members Susan Fontano, Danielle Evans, Elaine Severino, Josh Safdie, and Anne Brockelman.

Mouhab Rizkallah of LaCourt Foundation provided an overview of the requested changes to the plans approved by the ZBA in 2018 as part of case number ZBA 2017-125. The Applicant requested that the Board revise the previously issued Special Permit and approve the plans as proposed.

The Board heard comments from members of the public and addressed written public comments received by email. Necessary permit conditions to address the concerns of the Board and neighbors were discussed.

#### **FINDINGS**

The Applicant was requesting a revision to a Special Permit issued under the version of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance that was repealed in December 2019, and the finding considerations from that previous version of the Somerville Zoning Ordinance apply.

- The Board finds that the only finding required by the Somerville Zoning
  Ordinance that is applicable to the revision is concerning Site and Area
  Compatibility. Specifically, the relevant finding requires that the Applicant has to
  ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the
  characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."
- The Board finds that most of the proposed exterior changes are reasonably compatible with the residential nature of the surrounding area.
- The Board finds that the absence of windows on the right-most bay of the Hamilton Street façade is not consistent with the residential nature of the building or of the surrounding area. Installing windows in that bay which match the other ground-story bays would make the proposal more compatible with the site and surrounding area.

#### **DECISION**

Following public testimony and consideration of the statutory requirements to approve or deny a revision to a previously issued Special Permit, Danielle Evans moved to approve the revision to the special permit with the conditions discussed at the meeting. Elaine Severino seconded. The Board voted **4-0-1** with Anne Brockelman abstaining and the revision to the special permit was **approved**, subject to the following conditions:

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the revision of the first condition listed in the original decision. The revised condition identifies updated plans that reflect the changes made during construction.		BP/CO	ISD/Plng	
1	Date (Stamp Date)	Submission			
	November 27, 2017	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office			
	August 28, 2017 (February 28, 2018)	Certified Plot Plan and Landscaping/Parking Plan			
	November 12, 2017 (February 28, 2018)	Modified plans submitted to OSPCD (E- 01, A-02, A-01, E-02, A- 03, and A-04)			
	October 4, 2020	Plan set submitted to OSPCD reflecting changes made during construction			
	Any changes to the approved site plan or elevations/use that are not de minimis must receive SPGA approval.				

2	The Applicant shall install operable windows in the rightmost bay of the ground story Hamilton Street façade. The new windows must match the windows already installed on the ground story Hamilton St façade. The Applicant must submit updated plans that depict any internal changes caused by the addition of the windows for Planning Staff's review and approval prior to the installation of the windows.	BP/CO	ISD/PIng	
3	All other conditions from ZBA 2017-125 remain in effect.			

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:

Orsola Susan Fontano, *Chair* Danielle Evans, *Clerk* Elaine Severino Josh Safdie Anne Brockelman

Attest, by the Planning Director: _	Mathehini
_	Sarah Lewis

Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.

#### **CLERK'S CERTIFICATE**

Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty days after the date this notice is filed in the Office of the City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 17 and SZO sec. 15.5.3.

In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance shall take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title.

Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special permit shall not take effect until a copy of the decision bearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and indexed in the grantor index under the name of the owner of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of title. The person exercising rights under a duly appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reverse the permit and that any construction performed under the permit may be ordered undone.

The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or registering. Furthermore, a permit from the Division of Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed with any project favorably decided upon by this decision, and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to the Building Official that this decision is properly recorded.

This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed onClerk, and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Cl any appeals that were filed have been finally dismissed or cFOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the City Cl	erk, or enied.	in the	Office of the	City
there has been an appeal filed.	,			
Signed City	Clerk	Date		